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#### Abstract

Most of the multimedia applications require the $k$ shortest paths during the communication between a single source and multiple destinations. This problem is known as multimedia multicast routing and has been proved to be NP-complete. The paper proposes a genetic algorithm to determine the $k$ shortest paths with bandwidth constraints from a single source node to multiple destinations nodes. The algorithm uses the connection matrix of a given network, and the bandwidth of the links to obtain the $k$ shortest paths. Some examples are provided to illustrate the effectiveness of this algorithm over conventional algorithms.
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## 1. Introduction

The $k$ shortest paths problem has several applications in others network optimization problems. One of them is the restricted shortest path, where the shortest path that verifies a specified condition is searched. This research will attempt to apply a genetic algorithm to solve this problem based on a real world
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system. This is based on the analogy of finding the shortest path (i.e., the shortest possible bandwidth) between two nodes in the communication networks (assuming that each edge in the network has the bandwidth value). So, applying a genetic algorithm is an interesting idea. This is clearly different from traditional algorithms that try to compare every possibility to find the best solution, which might be a time consuming algorithm for a network containing a large number of nodes and edges.

Many papers study algorithms for $k$ shortest paths [1-20]. Yen [20] cite several additional papers on the subject going back as far as 1957. One must distinguish several common variations of the problem. In many of the papers cited above, the paths are restricted to be simple, i.e., no vertex can be repeated. Several papers $[5,18]$ consider the version of the $k$ shortest paths problem in which repeated vertices are allowed, and it is this version that we also study.

This paper will attempt to apply a genetic algorithm to solve the $k$ shortest paths problem based on the links bandwidth of the network. The paper is organized in the following

| $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | d |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Figure 1 A chromosome form (where $n_{i}, n_{j}, n_{k}, \ldots, n_{m}$ are the nodes between the source node $n_{0}$ and destination node $d$ ).


Figure 2 Crossover operation.


Figure 3 Mutation operation.


Figure 4 The sample network.
sections: Section 2 presents the problem description and how it can be solved. The genetic algorithm and its operators are presented in Section 3. The proposed algorithm is presented in Section 4. Section 5 presents the experimental results and displays the obtained results.

## 2. Problem description

A network is usually represented as a weighted digraph, $G=(N, E)$, where $N=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ denotes the set of nodes and $E=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$, denotes the set of communication links connecting the nodes. Let $M=\left\{n_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\} \subseteq \mathrm{N}$ be a set of form source to destination nodes, where $n_{0}$ is source


Figure 5 The results given in Table 1.

Table 1 The $k$ shortest paths which obtained by the proposed genetic algorithm.

| $\frac{\text { Destination node }}{4}$ | The shortest paths |  |  |  |  |  |  | k | $\operatorname{Band}(P)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 4 |  | 5 | 10 |
|  | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 4 |  | 10 |
| 5 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 13 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 |  |  | 10 |
|  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  | 10 |
| 7 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 7 |  | 6 | 10 |
|  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 8 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 12 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 7 |  | 10 |
| 8 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 |  | 6 | 10 |
|  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  | 10 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  | 10 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  | 10 |

node and $U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$ denotes a set of destination nodes. $P\left(n_{0}, u_{i}\right)$ is a path from source node $n_{0}$ to destination node $u_{i} \in U$.

The path $P$ is the shortest path if the bandwidth of that path is equal to constant value $B$ (this value is determined from the user or is a required value of the bandwidth). The bandwidth of $P(\operatorname{Band}(P))$ is the minimum value of link bandwidth $(\operatorname{Band}(e))$ in $P$. i.e.,
$\operatorname{Band}(P)=\min \left(\operatorname{Band}(e), \quad e \in E_{P}\right)$
Hence, the problem of bandwidth constrained $k$ shortest path is to find all the paths from source node to each destination node which satisfy:
$\operatorname{Band}(P) \geqslant B$.

## 3. The proposed genetic algorithm

Genetic algorithms, as powerful and broadly applicable stochastic search and optimization techniques, are the most

| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

Figure 6 The connection matrix of the network (20 nodes).

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 2 |
| 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 13 |
| 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 10 |
| 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 0 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 12 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 7 The bandwidth values of the given network.

Table $2 k$ shortest paths at $N$ generations.

| $N$ generations | $k$ shortest paths for each destination node |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 20 |
| 1000 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10,000 | 13 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 19 | 15 | 3 | 9 |
| 20,000 | 18 | 15 | 24 | 12 | 29 | 20 | 10 | 13 |
| 30,000 | 24 | 18 | 28 | 17 | 31 | 22 | 7 | 9 |
| 80,000 | 31 | 27 | 38 | 29 | 39 | 32 | 14 | 19 |
| 90,000 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 30 | 45 | 34 | 18 | 18 |
| 120,000 | 35 | 28 | 36 | 34 | 45 | 32 | 16 | 24 |
| 140,000 | 38 | 30 | 40 | 33 | 50 | 32 | 20 | 19 |
| 180,000 | 39 | 34 | 41 | 36 | 53 | 35 | 20 | 21 |
| 200,000 | 40 | 38 | 45 | 37 | 54 | 35 | 23 | 26 |

widely known types of evolutionary computation methods today. In general, a genetic algorithm has five basic components as follows: (1) an encoding method that is a genetic representation (genotype) of solutions to the program. (2) A way to create an initial population of chromosomes. (3) The objective function. (4) The genetic operators (crossover and mutation) that alter the genetic composition of offspring during reproduction.

### 3.1. Encoding method

Given a network $G(N, E)$ with $N$ nodes and $E$ is the set of communication links connecting the nodes. Also, we consider the source node $n_{0}$ and destination nodes set $U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2} \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$.

The chromosome can be represented by a string of integers with length $N$. The genes of the chromosome are the nodes between the source node $n_{0}$ and destination node $u_{i}$. Each


Figure $8 \quad k$ shortest paths at $N$ generations.
chromosome in population denotes the shortest path. Obviously, a chromosome represents a candidate solution for the $k$ shortest path problem since it guarantees the shortest path between the source node and any of the destination nodes.

### 3.2. Initial population

The initial population is generated according to the following steps:

1. A chromosome x in the initial population can be generated in a form as indicated in Fig. 1.
2. If the generated chromosome in Step 1 fails to meet 2-connectivity conditions, discard it and go to Step 1 .
3. Repeat Steps 1 to 2 to generate pop_size number of chromosomes.

### 3.3. The objective function

The objective function is to find the shortest paths from the source node to the destination nodes which satisfy
$\operatorname{Band}(P)=\min \left(\operatorname{Band}(e), \quad e \in E_{P}\right) \geqslant B$

### 3.4. Crossover operation

The crossover operation is performed by one-cut point. In the proposed approach, the crossover operation will perform if the
crossover ratio $\left(P_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is verified. The value of $P_{\mathrm{c}}$ is 0.9 . The cut point is selected randomly. The offspring generated by crossover operation is shown in Fig. 2.

### 3.5. Mutation operation

The mutation operation is performed on bit-by-bit basis. In the proposed approach, the mutation operation will perform if the mutation ratio $\left(P_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ is verified. The mutation ratio, $P_{\mathrm{m}}$ in this approach is 0.2 . The mutated bit is selected randomly. The offspring generated by mutation is shown in Fig. 3.

## 4. The proposed algorithm

This section presents the proposed GA for solving the k shortest paths problem. The steps of this algorithm are as follows:

```
Algorithm: Genetic algorithm for finding the \(k\) shortest paths
Input: pop_size, maxgen, \(P_{\mathrm{m}}, P_{\mathrm{c}}, n_{0}\), the destination nodes \(U, B\).
Output:
    1. Generate the initial population as in Section 3.2.
    2. gen \(\leftarrow 1\).
    3. While (gen \(<=\) maxgen) do
    \(P \leftarrow 1\)
    5. While ( \(p<=\) pop_size) do
6. Obtain chromosomes of the new population, select two chromo-
        somes from the parent population according to \(P_{\mathrm{c}}\). Apply cross-
        over, and then mutate the new child according to \(P_{\mathrm{m}}\) parameter.
7. Compute the bandwidth of the new child \((\operatorname{Band}(P))\) according
        to Eq. (1).
    8. If \(B(P) \geqslant B\) thenSave this child as a candidate solution.
9. \(P \leftarrow p+1\).
10. End if
11. End
12. Print all obtained solutions.
13. End
```


## 5. Experimental results

In this section, we show the effectiveness of the above algorithm by applying it on two examples as follows.

### 5.1. First example

We consider a network with eight nodes as shows in Fig. 4. Each link has a corresponding bandwidth.

The parameters setting in this algorithm are: pop_size $=$ $20, P_{\mathrm{m}}=0.2, P_{\mathrm{c}}=0.9$, maxgen $=600$. The source node $n_{0}$ is the node No. 1 and the destination nodes are $U=$ $\{4,5,7,8\}$, and the objective value of $B$ is equal to 10 (Fig. 5).

Table 3 Effect of mutation on $k$ shortest paths.

| Mutation rate $P_{\mathrm{m}}$ | $k$ shortest paths for each destination node |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 9 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 19 |
| 0.9 | 22 | 19 | 30 | 18 | 29 | 23 | 4 |
| 0.7 | 14 | 15 | 23 | 15 | 23 | 17 | 4 |
| 0.5 | 9 | 8 | 16 | 5 | 15 | 10 | 1 |
| 0.3 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 1 |
| 0.1 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 1 |



Figure 9 Study the effect of the mutation probability.

The $k$ shortest paths which obtained by the proposed genetic algorithm are shown in Table 1. These results indicate that the proposed algorithm is finding the $k$ shortest paths with bandwidth constraints from a single source node to multiple destinations nodes for any given network topology.

The following figure represents the results given in Table 1.

### 5.2. Second example

We consider another example with 20 nodes. The connection matrix of that example is shown in Fig. 6. The corresponding bandwidth of each link is shown in Fig. 7.

The parameters setting in this algorithm as: pop_size $=25$, $P_{\mathrm{m}} \geqslant 0.1, P_{\mathrm{c}}=0.9$, maxgen $=2000,000$. The source node $n_{0}$ is the node No. 1 and the destination nodes are $U=$ $\{9,11,12,14,16,17,19,20\}$, and the objective value of $B$ is equal to 10 .

The $k$ shortest paths for each destination node at $N$ generations are shown in the Table 2.

Fig. 8 represents the results given in Table 2.
Table 3 and Fig. 9 show the effect of varying the mutation probability.

It is clearly from the above table, the $k$ shortest paths decrease when the mutation rate decrease in the proposed algorithm

## 6. Conclusions

The paper proposes a genetic algorithm to determine the $k$ shortest paths with bandwidth constraints from a single source node to multiple destinations nodes. The algorithm uses the connection matrix of a given network, and the bandwidth of
the links to obtain the $k$ shortest paths. The proposed GA has been applied on two examples network topology and the produced results are obtained by a less number of generations.

The proposed algorithm is considered to be the first algorithm that uses the genetic algorithms to obtain the $k$ shortest paths from a single source node to multiple destinations nodes.
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